arXiv:1608.02635v2 [math.CO] 18 Jan 2017

# Matroid basis graph: Counting Hamiltonian cycles *

Cristina G. Fernandes † César Hernández-Vélez ‡
José C. de Pina† Jorge Luis Ramírez Alfonsín §

**Abstract**

We present exponential and super factorial lower bounds on the number of Hamiltonian cycles passing through any edge of the basis graphs of a graphic, generalized Catalan and uniform matroids. All lower bounds were obtained by a common general strategy based on counting appropriated cycles of length four in the corresponding matroid basis graph.

## 1 Introduction

For general background in matroid theory, we refer the reader to Oxley [14] and Welsh [17]. A *matroid* $M = (E, \mathcal{B})$ of *rank* $r = r(M)$ is a finite set $E$ together with a nonempty collection $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{B}(M)$ of $r$-subsets of $E$, called the *bases* of $M$, satisfying the following *basis exchange axiom*:

(*BEA*) If $B_1$ and $B_2$ are members of $\mathcal{B}$ and $e \in B_1 \setminus B_2$,
then there is an element $g \in B_2 \setminus B_1$ such that $(B_1 - e) + g \in \mathcal{B}$.

The *basis graph* $\mathrm{BG}(M)$ *of a matroid* $M$ is the graph having as vertex set the bases of $M$ and two vertices (bases) $B_1$ and $B_2$ are adjacent if and only if the symmetric difference $B_1 \Delta B_2$ of $B_1$ and $B_2$ has cardinality two. A graph is a *basis graph* if it can be labeled to become the basis graph of some matroid. We make no distinction between a basis of $M$ and a vertex of $\mathrm{BG}(M)$.

Basis graphs have been extensively studied. Maurer [13] gave a complete characterization of those graphs that are basis graphs. Liu [10, 12, 11] investigated the connectivity of $\mathrm{BG}(M)$ and Donald, Holzmann, and Tobey [8] gave a

*Research partially supported by CNPq (Proc. 308523/2012-1 and 456792/2014-7), FAPESP (Proc. 2012/24597-3, Proc. 2013/03447-6, and Proc. 2015/10323-7), PICS (Grant PICS06316), and Project MaCLinC of NUMEC/USP.

†Instituto de Matemática e Estatística, Universidade de São Paulo, 05508-090 São Paulo, Brazil. (cris@ime.usp.br, coelho@ime.usp.br).

‡Facultad de Ciencias, Universidad Autónoma de San Luis Potosí, 78290 San Luis Potosí, Mexico. (cesar.velez@uaslp.mx).

§Institut Montpelliérain Alexander Grothendieck, Université de Montpellier, 34095 Montpellier CEDEX 5, France. (jorge.ramirez-alfonsin@umontpellier.fr).

characterization of basis graphs of uniform matroids. Basis graphs are closely related to *matroid basis polytopes*. Indeed, Gel′fand and Serganova [9] proved that $\mathrm{BG}(M)$ is the 1-skeleton of the *basis polytope* of $M$. We refer the reader to the work developed by Chatelain and Ramírez Alfonsín [5, 6] for further discussion and applications on this direction.

A graph $G$ is *edge Hamiltonian* if $G$ has order at least three and every edge is in a Hamiltonian cycle. According to Bondy and Ingleton [1], Haff (unpublished) showed that the basis graph $\mathrm{BG}(M)$ of every matroid $M$ is edge Hamiltonian, unless $\mathrm{BG}(M)$ is $K_1$ or $K_2$, generalizing a result due to Cummins [7] and Shank [15] for graphic matroids. So, if $\mathrm{BG}(M)$ has at least three vertices, then $\mathrm{BG}(M)$ is edge Hamiltonian. In fact, the work of Bondy and Ingleton [1, Theorem 1 and Theorem 2] about pancyclic graphs implies the edge Hamiltonicity proved by Haff.

In this paper, we investigate further the edge Hamiltonicity of $\mathrm{BG}(M)$ by defining the following function. For a given matroid $M$, we let

$$\mathrm{HC}^*(M) = \min\{\mathrm{HC}_e(M) : e \in E(\mathrm{BG}(M))\}$$

where $\mathrm{HC}_e(M)$ denotes the number of different Hamiltonian cycles in $\mathrm{BG}(M)$ containing edge $e \in E(\mathrm{BG}(M))$. The function $\mathrm{HC}^*(M)$ naturally extends the edge Hamiltonicity. Bondy and Ingleton state that $\mathrm{HC}^*(M) \geq 1$ for every matroid $M$.

Along this paper, when we refer that an edge $e$ is in $t$ Hamiltonian cycles, we mean that $e$ is in *at least* $t$ different Hamiltonian cycles.

In Section 2, we give lower bounds on $\mathrm{HC}^*(M_G)$ where $M_G$ is the cycle matroid obtained from a $k$-edge-connected graph $G$. The lower bound for $k = 2, 3$ is exponential on the number of vertices of $G$ (Theorems 9 and 14). For $k \geq 4$, the lower bound is superfactorial on $k$ and is exponetial on the number of vertices (Theorem 15). In Section 3, we investigate $\mathrm{HC}^*(M)$ when $M$ is in the class of *lattice path matroids*. We present a lower bound on $\mathrm{HC}_e(M)$ when $M$ is a *generalized Catalan matroid* (Theorem 20). In particular, the derived lower bound for the *$k$-Catalan matroid* is superfactorial on $k$. Finally, we present a lower bound on $\mathrm{HC}^*(M)$ when $M$ is a *uniform matroid* (Theorem 22).

## 1.1 General strategy

In order to give a lower bound on $\mathrm{HC}^*(M_G)$, we follow the strategy described below, which has the same spirit as the one used by Bondy and Ingleton [1].

Let $M$ be a matroid and $\mathrm{BG}(M)$ be its basis graph. Let $B_1$ and $B_2$ be adjacent vertices (bases) in $\mathrm{BG}(M)$. By (*BEA*), there exist elements $e$ and $g$ of $M$, with $e \in B_1 \setminus B_2$ and $g \in B_2 \setminus B_1$, such that $B_2 = B_1 - e + g$. We define an $(X, Y)$-bipartition (determined by $e$) of the bases of $M$, with $X = \{B \in \mathcal{B}(M) \colon e \in B\}$ and $Y = \{B \in \mathcal{B}(M) \colon e \notin B\}$. The bases in $X$ ($Y$, respectively) correspond exactly to the bases of the matroid $M' = M/e$ obtained by *contracting* $e$ ($M'' = M \setminus e$, obtained by *deleting* $e$, respectively). Moreover, $\mathrm{BG}(M')$ ($\mathrm{BG}(M'')$, respectively) is $\mathrm{BG}(M)[X]$ ($\mathrm{BG}(M)[Y]$, respectively), which

is the subgraph of $\mathrm{BG}(M)$ induced by $X$ ($Y$, respectively). Therefore, there is a $1-1$ correspondence between Hamiltonian cycles of $\mathrm{BG}(M')$ ($\mathrm{BG}(M'')$, respectively) and Hamiltonian cycles of $\mathrm{BG}(M)[X]$ ($\mathrm{BG}(M)[Y]$, respectively). For readability, we do not distinguish between $\mathrm{BG}(M')$ ($\mathrm{BG}(M'')$, respectively) and $\mathrm{BG}(M)[X]$ ($\mathrm{BG}(M)[Y]$, respectively).

A basis sequence $B_1B_2B_3B_4$ is a *good cycle* for $B_1B_2$ if it is a cycle (of length four) in $\mathrm{BG}(M)$, each of $B_1$ and $B_4$ contains $e$, and none of $B_2$ and $B_3$ contains $e$; that is, $B_1$ and $B_4$ are adjacent bases of $\mathrm{BG}(M')$ and $B_2$ and $B_3$ are adjacent bases of $\mathrm{BG}(M'')$ (Figure 1).




Figure 1: A good cycle $C_e = B_1B_2B_3B_4$ for $B_1B_2$.

If $C_e = B_1B_2B_3B_4$ is good, then the symmetric difference of a Hamiltonian cycle of $\mathrm{BG}(M')$ passing through the edge $B_1B_4$, the good $C_e$, and a Hamiltonian cycle of $\mathrm{BG}(M'')$ passing through the edge $B_2B_3$ is a Hamiltonian cycle of $\mathrm{BG}(M)$.

So, if $\mathcal{C}(B_1, B_2)$ is the set of good cycles for $B_1B_2$, then

$$\mathrm{HC}_{B_1B_2}(M) \geq \mathrm{HC}^*(M') \times |\mathcal{C}(B_1, B_2)| \times \mathrm{HC}^*(M'').$$

This inequality suggests an inductive way to achieve a lower bound on $\mathrm{HC}^*(M)$. A key part in this approach involves proving a lower bound on the number of good cycles for any edge of $\mathrm{BG}(M)$.

## 2 Graphic matroids

In this section, we consider a graphic matroid $M_G$ where $G$ is a $k$-edge-connected graph of order $n$; that is, the elements of the ground set of $M_G$ are the edges of $G$ and a basis of $M_G$ corresponds to a spanning tree of $G$, thus a basis of $M_G$ contains exactly $n-1$ edges of $G$. Since loops of $G$ are in no basis of $M_G$, we always consider graphs with no loops. For readability, we do not distinguish between a basis of $M_G$ and a spanning tree of $G$. If $B$ is a basis of $M_G$ and $g$ is an edge of $G$ not in $B$, then $B+g$ induces a unique cycle (circuit) $C(g, B)$ in $G$ (in $M_G$, respectively) called the *fundamental cycle* (*circuit*, respectively) with respect to $g$ and $B$ [14].

First, note that, by Haff's result, if $G$ is a $k$-edge-connected graph of order $n \geq 3$, for $k \geq 2$, then the graph $\mathrm{BG}(M_G)$ has at least three vertices and is edge Hamiltonian.

Let $G' = G/e$ be the graph resulting from contracting the edge $e$ of $G$ and then removing loops and let $G'' = G \setminus e$ be the graph resulting from deleting the edge $e$.

Let $X$ and $Y$ be disjoint subsets of the vertex set $V(G)$. We denote by $E[X, Y]$ $(= E[Y, X])$ the set of edges of $G$ with one end in $X$ and the other end in $Y$, and by $e(X, Y)$ their number.

## 2.1 General structure of good cycles

Now, we fix the structure that we will use in the rest of Section 2 and, unless otherwise stated, we will follow this notation. The facts presented ahead show types of good cycles that this structure induces.

Let $G$ be a graph and $B_1$ and $B_2$ be bases of $M_G$ such that $B_2 = B_1 - e + g$. Let $f$ be an edge of $B_1 - e$. Let $X$ be the vertex set of the component of $B_1 - e$ that contains no end of $f$. Let $Z$ be the vertex set of the component of $B_1 - f$ that contains no end of $e$. Let $Y = V(G) \setminus (X \cup Z)$.

Let $\mathcal{C}_e = \mathcal{C}(B_1, B_2)$ be the set of good cycles for $B_1 B_2$. An arbitrary element of $\mathcal{C}_e$ is denoted by $C_e$, and is represented as $B_1 B_2 B_3 B_4$. For $f \in B_1 - e = B_2 - g$, let $\mathcal{C}_e(f) = \{C_e \in \mathcal{C}_e : f \notin B_4\}$. An arbitrary element of $\mathcal{C}_e(f)$ is denoted by $C_e(f)$. For every $f' \in B_1 - e$ with $f' \neq f$, since $f'$ belongs to both $B_3$ and $B_4$ for every cycle $C_e(f)$, we have that $\mathcal{C}_e(f) \cap \mathcal{C}_e(f') = \emptyset$. Thus $\mathcal{C}_e = \dot{\bigcup}\{\mathcal{C}_e(f) : f \in B_1 - e\}$. For every $w \notin B_1 + g = B_2 + e$, we denote by $\mathcal{C}_e(f, w)$ the set of cycles in $\mathcal{C}_e(f)$ such that $w \in B_3$. Similarly, $\mathcal{C}_e(f, w) \cap \mathcal{C}_e(f, w') = \emptyset$ for every $w' \notin B_1 + g$ with $w' \neq w$. Therefore $\mathcal{C}_e(f) = \dot{\bigcup}\{\mathcal{C}_e(f, w) : w \notin B_1 + g\}$. Summarizing, the following holds.

**Remark 1.** *$\mathcal{C}_e(f) \cap \mathcal{C}_e(f') = \emptyset$ and $\mathcal{C}_e(f, w) \cap \mathcal{C}_e(f, w') = \emptyset$ for every $f, f' \in B_1 - e$ with $f \neq f'$ and every $w, w' \notin B_1 + g$ with $w \neq w'$.*

**Fact 1.** *If $f$ is not in $C(g, B_1)$ and $w$ is an edge in $E[X \cup Y, Z]$ other than $f$, then there exists a good cycle $C_e(f, w)$ by defining*

- *$B_4 = B_1 - f + w$ and $B_3 = B_2 - f + w$.*

*Note that $B_3 = B_4 - e + g$. (See Figure 2.)*

**Fact 2.** *If $f$ is in $C(g, B_1)$ and $\ell$ is an edge in $E[Y, Z]$ other than $f$, then there are two good cycles $C_e(f, \ell)$ by defining*

- *$B_4 = B_1 - f + \ell$ and $B_3 = B_2 - f + \ell$.*
- *$B_4 = B_1 - f + g$ and $B_3 = B_2 - f + \ell$.*

*Note that, in the first case, $B_3 = B_4 - e + g$ and, in the second case, $B_3 = B_4 - e + \ell$. (See Figure 3.)*




| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| $B_1$ | $\{e, f, \ldots\}$ | $\{g, f, \ldots\}$ | $B_2$ |
| $B_4$ | $\{e, w, \ldots\}$ | $\{g, w, \ldots\}$ | $B_3$ |

Figure 2: Edge $f$ is in $B_1$. There are edges $w_1$ and $w_2$ between $X \cup Y$ and $Z$. The table shows a good $C_e(f, w)$'s containing $B_1B_2$.




| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| $B_1$ | $\{e, f, \ldots\}$ | $\{g, f, \ldots\}$ | $B_2$ |
| $B_4$ | $\{e, \ell, \ldots\}$ | $\{g, \ell, \ldots\}$ | $B_3$ |
| $B_1$ | $\{e, f, \ldots\}$ | $\{g, f, \ldots\}$ | $B_2$ |
| $B_4$ | $\{e, g, \ldots\}$ | $\{g, \ell, \ldots\}$ | $B_3$ |

Figure 3: The bold edges are in $B_1$. There is an edge $\ell$ between $Y$ and $Z$. The table shows two good cycles $C_e(f, \ell)$ containing $B_1B_2$.

**Fact 3.** *If $f$ is in $C(g, B_1)$ and $h$ is an edge in $E[X, Y]$ other than $e$, then there exists a good cycle $C_e(f, h)$ by defining*

- $B_4 = B_1 - f + g$ *and* $B_3 = B_2 - f + h$.

*Note that $B_3 = B_4 - e + h$. (See Figure 4.)*

**Fact 4.** *If $f$ is in $C(g, B_1)$ and $j$ is an edge in $E[X, Z]$ other than $g$, then there exists a good cycle $C_e(f, j)$ by defining*

- $B_4 = B_1 - f + j$ *and* $B_3 = B_2 - g + j$.

*Note that $B_3 = B_4 - e + f$. (See Figure 4.)*




| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| $B_1$ | $\{e, f, \ldots\}$ | $\{g, f, \ldots\}$ | $B_2$ |
| $B_4$ | $\{e, g, \ldots\}$ | $\{g, h, \ldots\}$ | $B_3$ |
| $B_1$ | $\{e, f, \ldots\}$ | $\{g, f, \ldots\}$ | $B_2$ |
| $B_4$ | $\{e, j, \ldots\}$ | $\{j, f, \ldots\}$ | $B_3$ |

Figure 4: The bold edges are in $B_1$. There is an edge $h$ between $X$ and $Y$, and an edge $j$ between $X$ and $Z$. The table shows the two good cycles $C_e$ containing $B_1B_2$.

## 2.2 2-edge-connected graphs

We start by giving a lower bound on $\mathrm{HC}^*(M_G)$ where $M_G$ is the cycle matroid obtained from a 2-edge-connected graph $G$. In what follows we shall use the notation introduced in the beginning of this section. In particular, we use extensively the facts and the structure of the vertex sets $X$, $Y$, $Z$ provided by adjacent bases $B_1$, $B_2$ and a convenient edge $f$ in $B_1 \cap B_2$.

**Proposition 2.** *Let $G$ be a 2-edge-connected graph. Let $B_1$ and $B_2$ be adjacent bases of $\mathrm{BG}(M_G)$, say $B_2 = B_1 - e + g$. Each edge $f$ of $B_1$ with at most one end in $C(g, B_1)$ provides a good cycle $C_e(f)$.*

*Proof.* It follows from Fact 1 that, given an edge $f$ in $B_1$ with at most one end in $C(g, B_1)$, for every edge $w \in E[X \cup Y, Z]$ (one such $w$ exists because $G$ is 2-edge-connected), there exists a good cycle $C_e(f, w)$. □

**Proposition 3.** *Let $G$ be a connected graph. Let $B_1$ and $B_2$ be adjacent bases of $\mathrm{BG}(M_G)$, say $B_2 = B_1 - e + g$. For each edge $w$ not in $B_1$ with at most one end in $C(g, B_1)$, there exists an edge $f_w \in B_1 - e$ that provides a good cycle $C_e(f_w, w)$.*

*Proof.* Let $w$ be an edge not in $B_1$ with at most one end in $C(g, B_1)$. As at most one end of $w$ is in $C(g, B_1)$, there exists an edge $f_w$ of $B_1 - C(g, B_1) \subseteq B_1 - e$ in the fundamental cycle $C(w, B_1)$. It follows from Fact 1 that there exists a good cycle $C_e(f_w, w)$. □

**Proposition 4.** *Let $G$ be 2-edge-connected graph. Let $B_1$ and $B_2$ be adjacent bases of $\mathrm{BG}(M_G)$, say $B_2 = B_1 - e + g$. Suppose that $C(g, B_1)$ has length at least three. For each edge $w$ not in $B_1 + g$ with both ends in $C(g, B_1)$, there exists an edge $f_w \in B_1 - e$ that provides a good cycle $C_e(f_w, w)$.*

*Proof.* As $C(g, B_1)$ has length at least three, $e$ and $g$ are not parallel edges. Let $w$ be an edge not in $B_1 + g$ with both ends in $C(g, B_1)$.

**Case 1.** *The edge $w$ is parallel to $g$.*

Let $f_w$ be an edge of $C(g, B_1) - e - g$. In this case $w$ is as $j$ in Fact 4.

**Case 2.** *The edge $w$ is not parallel to $g$ and the fundamental cycle $C(w, B_1)$ contains the edge $e$.*

Let $f_w$ be an edge of $C(g, B_1) - e - g$ and not in $C(w, B_1)$. In this case $w$ is as $h$ in Fact 3.

**Case 3.** *The edge $w$ is not parallel to $g$ and the fundamental cycle $C(w, B_1)$ does not contain the edge $e$.*

Let $f_w$ be an edge of $C(w, B_1) - w \subseteq B_1 - e$. In this case $w$ is as $\ell$ in Fact 2.

So, each case leads to one of the previously stated facts where we obtain an $f_w$ and a good cycle $C_e(f_w, w)$. □

**Lemma 5.** *If $G$ is a 2-edge-connected graph of order $n \geq 4$ and size at least $n+2$, then every edge of* $\mathrm{BG}(M_G)$ *is in two good cycles.*

*Proof.* Let $B_1$ and $B_2$ be adjacent bases of $\mathrm{BG}(M_G)$, say $B_2 = B_1 - e + g$.

Suppose that $e$ and $g$ are parallel edges; that is, $C(g, B_1)$ is the 2-cycle $eg$. Since $G$ has order $n \geq 4$, there are two edges in $B_1 - e$. By Proposition 2, each one of them gives a good cycle, and they are distinct by Remark 1.

Now, suppose that $e$ and $g$ are not parallel edges in $G$. Thus, $C(g, B_1)$ has length at least three. If there are two edges in $B_1$ with at most one end in $C(g, B_1)$ or two edges not in $B_1$ with at most one end in $C(g, B_1)$, by Propositions 2 and 3, respectively, we have two good cycles, distinct by Remark 1, so we are done. Also, if there are two edges not in $B_1 + g$ with both ends in $C(g, B_1)$, then we are done by Proposition 4 and Remark 1.

Finally, as $G$ has size at least $n+2$, we may assume there exist an edge in $B_1$ with at most one end in $C(g, B_1)$ and an edge not in $B_1 + g$ with both ends in $C(g, B_1)$. Therefore, by Propositions 2 and 4, respectively, and Remark 1, the lemma follows. □

The 1-*sum* $H \oplus_1 H'$ of two graphs $H$ and $H'$ is the graph obtained from identifying a vertex of $H$ with a vertex of $H'$.

**Lemma 6.** *Let $G$ be a 2-edge-connected graph of order $n \geq 4$. There exists an edge in* $\mathrm{BG}(M_G)$ *not in two good cycles if and only if $G$ is either $C_n$ or $C_2 \oplus_1 C_{n-1}$.*

*Proof.* Let $m$ denote the number of edges of $G$. Since $G$ is 2-edge-connected, every edge is in a cycle, so $m \geq n$. If $m = n$, then $G$ is the $n$-cycle $C_n$ and no edge of $\mathrm{BG}(M_G)$ is in a good cycle. For $m \geq n+2$, Lemma 5 implies that every edge of $\mathrm{BG}(M_G)$ is in two good cycles. So, we may assume that $m = n+1$. Because every 2-edge-connected graph has a closed ear-decomposition [2], and $G$ has exactly $m+1$ edges, the closed ear-decomposition of $G$ consist of exactly two ears. Thus, $G$ is either

i) The 1-sum of two cycles, or

ii) The union of three internally disjoint paths that have the same two end vertices.

First, suppose that $G$ is the 1-sum of two cycle, say $C^1 \oplus_1 C^2$. Since we only consider graphs with no loops, the length of both $C^1$ and $C^2$ is at least two. When the length of both $C^1$ and $C^2$ is at least three, Proposition 2 provides two good cycles for every edge of $\mathrm{BG}(M_G)$. Therefore, $G$ is $C_2 \oplus_1 C_{n-1}$ and it can be verified that there are adjacent bases $B_1$ and $B_2$ in $\mathrm{BG}(M_G)$ for which there is only one good cycle (Figure 5).

Now, suppose that $G$ is the union of three internally disjoint paths, say $P_1$, $P_2$, $P_3$, that have the same two end vertices. In this case we shall show that every edge of $\mathrm{BG}(M_G)$ is in two good cycles.

Let $B_1B_2$ be an edge of $\mathrm{BG}(M_G)$, say $B_2 = B_1 - e + g$. First, suppose that $e$ and $g$ are in the same path, say $P_1$. Thus, without loss of generality, all edges

of $P_2$ are in $B_1$, and there exists an edge $w$ in $P_3$ not in $B_1$. Let $f$ be an edge of $P_2$ (and therefore of $B_1$). Keeping our notation defined in Section 2.1, $w$ is in $E[Y, Z]$ and Fact 2 provides two good cycles $C_e(f, w)$.

Finally, suppose that $e$ and $g$ are in different paths; say $e$ belongs to $P_1$ and $g$ belongs to $P_2$. Thus, all edges of $P_1$ are in $B_1$, and there exists an edge $w$ in $P_3$ not in $B_1$. If there exists an edge $f \in B_1 - e$ in $P_1$, then $w$ is in $E[X, Z]$ as $j$ in Fact 4. If there exists an edge $f \in B_1$ in $P_2$, then $w$ is in $E[X, Y]$ as $h$ in Fact 3. If there exists an edge $f \in B_1$ in $P_3$, then $w$ is in $E[X \cup Y, Z]$ as in Fact 1. In any case we get a good cycle $C_e(f, w)$. Since $G$ has order at least four, there are two edges $f, f' \in B_1$ other than $e$. Therefore, by Remark 1, every edge $B_1B_2$ is in two good cycles, named $C_e(f, w)$ and $C_e(f', w)$. □




Figure 5: A 2-edge-connected graph $G$ whose basis graph $\mathrm{BG}(M_G)$ has an edge, $B_1B_2$, with no two good cycles. The basis $B_1$ is the spanning tree in thick edges and $B_2 = B_1 - e + g$.

**Proposition 7.** *For $n \geq 3$, every edge of $K_n$ is in $(n-2)!$ Hamiltonian cycles.*

*Proof.* Let $\{v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_n\}$ be the vertex set of $K_n$. Consider the edge $v_iv_j$. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $v_iv_j = v_1v_2$. Note that $v_1v_2v_{\sigma(3)} \ldots v_{\sigma(n)}$ is a Hamiltonian cycle for any permutation $\sigma$ of $\{3, \ldots, n\}$. Therefore, the number of Hamiltonian cycles containing the edge $v_1v_2$ is $(n-2)!$ and the lemma follows. □

**Proposition 8.** *For $n \geq 3$, every edge of $K_2 \square K_{n-1}$ is in $(n-2)!(n-3)!$ Hamiltonian cycles.*

*Proof.* If $n = 3$, then $(n-2)!(n-3)! = 1$ and $K_2 \square K_2$ is $C_4$. So, we may assume that $n \geq 4$.

Let $\{u_1, u_2\}$ be the vertex set of $K_2$, and $\{v_1, \ldots, v_{n-1}\}$ be the vertex set of $K_{n-1}$. Let $K^1_{n-1} = \big\{(u_1, v_1), \ldots, (u_1, v_{n-1})\big\}$ and $K^2_{n-1} = \big\{(u_2, v_1), \ldots, (u_2, v_{n-1})\big\}$. There exists a natural partition $(K^1_{n-1}, K^2_{n-1})$ of the vertex set of $K_2 \square K_{n-1}$. For every permutation $\sigma$ of $\{1, \ldots, n-1\}$, let $C^\ell_\sigma$ be the Hamiltonian cycle $(u_\ell, v_{\sigma(1)}) \cdots (u_\ell, v_{\sigma(n-1)})$ of $K^\ell_{n-1}$. Consider an edge $(u_\ell, v_i)(u_\ell, v_j)$ of $K_2 \square K_{n-1}$. Without loss of generality we may assume that $\ell = i = 1$ and $j = 2$. For a permutation $\sigma_1$ with $\sigma_1(1) = 1$ and $\sigma_1(2) = 2$, let $(u_1, v_x)(u_1, v_y)$ be an edge of $C^1_{\sigma_1}$ other than $(u_1, v_1)(u_1, v_2)$. For every permutation $\sigma_2$ such that $\sigma_2(1) = x$ and $\sigma_2(2) = y$, the Hamiltonian cycle $C^2_{\sigma_2}$ of $K^2_{n-1}$ uses the edge $(u_2, v_x)(u_2, v_y)$. Let $S$ denote the cycle $(u_1, v_x)(u_2, v_x)(u_2, v_y)(u_1, v_y)$. The

symmetric difference $C^1_{\sigma_1}\Delta S\Delta C^2_{\sigma_2}$ is a Hamiltonian cycle of $\mathrm{BG}(M_G)$ containing the edge $(u_1,v_1)(u_1,v_2)$. Since the edge $(u_1,v_x)(u_1,v_y)$ can be chosen in $n-2$ different ways, and the number of permutations $\sigma_1$ as well as the number of permutations $\sigma_2$ is $(n-3)!$, we obtain $(n-2)\big((n-3)!\big)^2$ Hamiltonian cycles passing through the edge $(u_1,v_1)(u_1,v_2)$.

Now consider an edge $(u_1,v_i)(u_2,v_i)$ of $K_2\Box K_{n-1}$. Without loss of generality we may assume that $i=1$. Consider the edge $(u_1,v_1)(u_1,v_j)$. Such edge is in $(n-3)!$ Hamiltonian cycles $C^1$ of $K^1_{n-1}$. On the other hand, there are $(n-3)!$ Hamiltonian cycles $C^2$ of $K^2_{n-1}$ passing through the edge $(u_2,v_1)(u_2,v_j)$. Let $S$ denote the cycle $(u_1,v_1)(u_1,v_j)(u_2,v_j)(u_2,v_1)$. The symmetric difference $C^1\Delta S\Delta C^2$ is a Hamiltonian cycle of $\mathrm{BG}(M_G)$ containing the edge $(u_1,v_1)(u_2,v_1)$. Since there are $(n-3)!$ cycles $C^1$, as well as cycles $C^2$, and the edge $(u_1,v_1)(u_1,v_j)$ can be chosen in $n-2$ different ways, we obtain $(n-2)\big((n-3)!\big)^2$ Hamiltonian cycles containing the edge $(u_1,v_1)(u_2,v_1)$. Note that $(n-2)\big((n-3)!\big)^2 = (n-2)!(n-3)!$, hence the proposition follows. □

**Theorem 9.** *If $G$ is a 2-edge-connected graph of order $n\geq 3$, then every edge of $\mathrm{BG}(M_G)$ is in $2^{n-3}$ Hamiltonian cycles.*

*Proof.* The proof is by induction on $n$. If $n=3$, then $2^{n-3}=1$ and the theorem follows from the edge Hamiltonicity of $\mathrm{BG}(M_G)$. So we may assume that $n\geq 4$.

By Lemma 6, if there exists an edge in $\mathrm{BG}(M_G)$ not in two good cycles, then $G$ is either $C_n$ or $C_2\oplus_1 C_{n-1}$. If $G=C_n$, then $\mathrm{BG}(M_G)=K_n$ and by Proposition 7 every edge of $\mathrm{BG}(M_G)$ is in $(n-2)!\geq 2^{n-3}$ Hamiltonian cycles. If $G=C_2\oplus_1 C_{n-1}$, then $\mathrm{BG}(M_G)=K_2\Box K_{n-1}$ and by Proposition 8 every edge of $\mathrm{BG}(M_G)$ is in $(n-2)!(n-3)!\geq 2^{n-3}$ Hamiltonian cycles. Therefore, we may assume that $G$ has at least $n+1$ edges and every edge of $\mathrm{BG}(M_G)$ is in two good cycles.

Let $B_1B_2$ be an edge of $\mathrm{BG}(M_G)$, say $B_2=B_1-e+g$. Let $G'=G/e$ and $G''=G\setminus e$. As $G'$ is 2-edge-connected of order $n-1\geq 3$, by the induction hypothesis, every edge of $\mathrm{BG}(M_{G'})$ is in $2^{n-4}$ Hamiltonian cycles in $\mathrm{BG}(M_{G'})$. As $G''$ has $n\geq 4$ vertices and at least $n$ edges, $G''$ has at least two spanning trees, and therefore $\mathrm{BG}(M_{G''})$ is either $K_2$ or edge Hamiltonian.

Let $C_e=B_1B_2B_3B_4$ be a good cycle. If $\mathrm{BG}(M_{G''})$ is $K_2$, then the symmetric difference of $C_e$ and a Hamiltonian cycle of $\mathrm{BG}(M_{G'})$ containing $B_1B_4$ is a Hamiltonian cycle of $\mathrm{BG}(M_G)$. On the other hand, if $\mathrm{BG}(M_{G''})$ is edge Hamiltonian, then the symmetric difference of $C_e$, a Hamiltonian cycle of $\mathrm{BG}(M_{G'})$ containing $B_1B_4$, and a Hamiltonian cycle of $\mathrm{BG}(M_{G''})$ containing $B_2B_3$ is a Hamiltonian cycle of $\mathrm{BG}(M_G)$. As every edge of $\mathrm{BG}(M_G)$ is in two good cycles, in either case we conclude that every edge of $\mathrm{BG}(M_G)$ is in $2^{n-4}\cdot 2\cdot 1=2^{n-3}$ Hamiltonian cycles.

□

## 2.3 $k$-edge-connected graphs

Now, we turn our attention to counting Hamiltonian cycles in the basis graph of the cycle matroid of $k$-edge-connected graphs for $k \geq 3$.

**Lemma 10.** *If $G$ is a $k$-edge-connected graph of order $n \geq 3$ for $k \geq 3$, then there are $(n-2)(k-1)$ good cycles for every edge of $\mathrm{BG}(M_G)$.*

*Proof.* Let $B_1B_2$ be an edge of $\mathrm{BG}(M_G)$, say $B_2 = B_1 - e + g$, and let $f \in B_1 - e$. First we show that there are $k-1$ good cycles in $\mathcal{C}_e(f)$.

As before, let $X$ be the vertex set of the component of $B_1 - e$ that contains no end of $f$, let $Z$ be the vertex set of the component of $B_1 - f$ that contains no end of $e$, and let $Y = V(G) \setminus (X \cup Z)$.

Suppose that $f$ is not in $C(g, B_1)$. Since $G$ is $k$-edge-connected, the edge set $E[X \cup Y, Z]$ contains $k-1$ edges distinct from $f$. It follows from Fact 1 that there is a good cycle in $\mathcal{C}_e(f)$ for each of these edges. Besides, for different edges in $E[X \cup Y, Z]$, the corresponding bases $B_3$ are different and so are their corresponding good cycles in $\mathcal{C}_e(f)$.

Now, suppose that $f$ is in $C(g, B_1)$. It follows from Fact 2 that there are two good cycles in $\mathcal{C}_e(f)$ for every edge in $E[Y, Z] \setminus \{f\}$, from Fact 3 that there is one good cycle in $\mathcal{C}_e(f)$ for every edge in $E[X, Y] \setminus \{e\}$, and from Fact 4 that there is one good cycle in $\mathcal{C}_e(f)$ for every edge in $E[X, Z] \setminus \{g\}$. Thus, as these good cycles are distinct, if $(e(X,Y)-1) + (e(X,Z)-1) + 2(e(Y,Z)-1) \geq k-1$, we would indeed have $k-1$ good cycles in $\mathcal{C}_e(f)$.

By the $k$-edge-connectivity of $G$, we get that

$$|E[Y, X \cup Z] \setminus \{e, f\}| = e(X,Y) + e(Y,Z) - 2 \geq k-2, \quad (1)$$

$$|E[Z, X \cup Y] \setminus \{f, g\}| = e(X,Z) + e(Y,Z) - 2 \geq k-2. \quad (2)$$

Hence, summing (1) and (2), we get that $e(X,Y) + e(X,Z) + 2e(Y,Z) - 4 \geq 2k-4$, and $2k-4 \geq k-1$ as $k \geq 3$. So we have $k-1$ good cycles in $\mathcal{C}_e(f)$.

By Remark 1 and as there are $n-2$ choices for $f$, there are $(n-2)(k-1)$ good cycles for every edge of $\mathrm{BG}(M_G)$. □

**Lemma 11.** *Let $G$ be a 3-edge-connected graph of order $n \geq 3$ and let $e$ be an edge of $G$. Then $\mathrm{HC}^*(M_G) \geq \mathrm{HC}^*(M_{G/e})$ and $\mathrm{HC}^*(M_G) \geq \mathrm{HC}^*(M_{G \setminus e})$.*

*Proof.* Let $X = \{B \in \mathcal{B}(M_G) \colon e \in B\}$ and $Y = \{B \in \mathcal{B}(M_G) \colon e \notin B\}$. Note that $(X, Y)$ is a bipartition of the vertices (bases) of $\mathrm{BG}(M_G)$. Let $G' = G/e$ and $G'' = G \setminus e$. As $G'$ is 3-edge-connected of order $n-1 \geq 2$, the basis graph $\mathrm{BG}(M_{G'})$ has at least three vertices and is edge Hamiltonian. Similarly, $\mathrm{BG}(M_{G''})$ also has at least three vertices and thus is edge Hamiltonian. There is a one-to-one correspondence between the bases in $X$ and the bases of $\mathrm{BG}(M_{G'})$ and between the bases in $Y$ and the bases of $\mathrm{BG}(M_{G''})$.

The edge set of $\mathrm{BG}(M_G)$ can be partitioned into: *(i)* edges with both ends in $\mathrm{BG}(M_{G'})$, called *yellow edges*, *(ii)* edges with one end in $\mathrm{BG}(M_{G'})$ and the other one in $\mathrm{BG}(M_{G''})$, called *pink edges*, and *(iii)* edges with both ends in $\mathrm{BG}(M_{G''})$, called *orange edges*.

**Case 1.** *Hamiltonian cycles passing through a pink edge.*

Let $B_1B_2$ be a pink edge. For every good cycle $C_e = B_1B_2B_3B_4$, the edge $B_1B_4$ is an edge of $\mathrm{BG}(M_{G'})$ and $B_2B_3$ is an edge of $\mathrm{BG}(M_{G''})$. The symmetric difference of a Hamiltonian cycle of $\mathrm{BG}(M_{G'})$ containing the edge $B_1B_4$, the good cycle $C_e$, and a Hamiltonian cycle of $\mathrm{BG}(M_{G''})$ containing the edge $B_2B_3$ is a Hamiltonian cycle of $\mathrm{BG}(M_G)$ containing the edge $B_1B_2$. By Lemma 10, there exists at least one such good cycle $C_e$. Thus $\mathrm{HC}_{B_1B_2}(M_G) \geq \mathrm{HC}^*(M_{G'})$ and $\mathrm{HC}_{B_1B_2}(M_G) \geq \mathrm{HC}^*(M_{G''})$.

**Case 2.** *Hamiltonian cycles passing through a yellow edge.*

First we prove that every yellow edge belongs to a good cycle $C_e$. Let $B_1B_4$ be a yellow edge, say $B_4 = B_1 - f + w$. The subgraph $B_4 - e$ has exactly two components. Since $G$ is 3-edge-connected, there exists an edge $g$ other than $e$ and $f$ connecting the two components of $B_4 - e$. Therefore $e \in C(g, B_4)$ and $B_3 = B_4 - e + g$ is a basis. If $B_1 - e + g$ is a basis, we set $B_2 = B_1 - e + g$ and we have a good cycle $C_e = B_1B_2B_3B_4$. So, we may assume that $B_1 - e + g$ is not a basis. Thus $e \notin C(g, B_1)$. Since $e \in C(g, B_4)$, we have that $C(g, B_1) \neq C(g, B_4)$. This implies that $f \in C(g, B_1)$ and $w \in C(g, B_4)$ since $B_1$ and $B_4$ only differ by $f$ and $w$. Hence, we know that $C(g, B_1)\Delta C(g, B_4)$, the symmetric difference of $C(g, B_1)$ and $C(g, B_4)$, (*i*) contains $e, f, w$ but not $g$; (*ii*) is contained in $B_1 \cup B_4 = B_1 + w$; and (*iii*) contains a cycle. Therefore, the only cycle contained in $C(g, B_1)\Delta C(g, B_4)$ is $C(w, B_1)$. In this case, $B_2 = B_1 - e + w$ is a basis and there is also a good cycle $C_e = B_1B_2B_3B_4$.

Now, every yellow edge $B_1B_4$ is in a Hamiltonian cycle $C$ of $\mathrm{BG}(M_{G'})$. Let us *extend* $C$ to a Hamiltonian cycle of $\mathrm{BG}(M)$ as follows. Consider an edge $B_1'B_4'$ in $C$ other than $B_1B_4$. The symmetric difference of $C$, a good cycle containing the yellow edge $B_1'B_4'$, say $B_1'B_2'B_3'B_4'$, and a Hamiltonian cycle of $\mathrm{BG}(M_{G''})$ containing the edge $B_2'B_3'$ is an extension of $C$ to a Hamiltonian cycle of $\mathrm{BG}(M_G)$ containing the edge $B_1B_4$. Thus $\mathrm{HC}_{B_1B_4}(M_G) \geq \mathrm{HC}^*(M_{G''})$ because $B_2'B_3'$ is in $\mathrm{HC}^*(M_{G''})$ Hamiltonian cycles of $\mathrm{BG}(M_{G''})$.

On the other hand, since $\mathrm{BG}(M_{G'})$ is a simple graph, two Hamiltonian cycles of $\mathrm{BG}(M_{G'})$ passing through the edge $B_1B_4$, say $C$ and $C'$, differ in at least two edges. Therefore $C$ and $C'$ are extended to different Hamiltonian cycles of $\mathrm{BG}(M_G)$. Hence $\mathrm{HC}_{B_1B_4}(M_G) \geq \mathrm{HC}^*(M_{G'})$.

**Case 3.** *Hamiltonian cycles passing through an orange edge.*

First we prove that every Hamiltonian cycle $C$ in $\mathrm{BG}(M_{G''})$ contains two edges, say $B_2B_3$ and $B_2'B_3'$, each of which is in a good cycle in $\mathcal{C}_e$. As $G$ has at least three vertices and is 3-edge-connected, there exist an edge $f$ in $G$ not parallel to $e$ and a basis of $M_{G''}$ not containing $f$. By traversing $C$, we pass through edges $B_2B_3$ and $B_3'B_2'$ such that $B_3 = B_2 - f + w$ and $B_2' = B_3' + f - w'$ for some elements $w$ and $w'$. We shall prove that there exists a $C_e$ containing $B_2B_3$ and a $C_e'$ containing $B_2'B_3'$.

As $f$ is not parallel to $e$, there exists an edge $g \in C(e, B_2)$ other than $f$. Therefore $B_1 = B_2 - g + e$ is a basis. If $B_3 - g + e$ is also a basis, we set

$B_4 = B_3 - g + e$ and obtain a good cycle $C_e$ with $B_2B_3$. So, we may assume that $B_3 - g + e$ is not a basis. Thus $g \notin C(e, B_3)$. Since $g \in C(e, B_2)$, we have that $C(e, B_2) \neq C(e, B_3)$. This implies that $f \in C(e, B_2)$ and $w \in C(e, B_3)$ since $B_2$ and $B_3$ only differ by $f$ and $w$. In this case $B_4 = B_3 - w + e$ is a basis and we obtain a good cycle $C_e$ with $B_2B_3$. This completes the proof for $B_2B_3$. The proof for $B'_2B'_3$ is analogous.

Every orange edge $B_2B_3$ is in a Hamiltonian cycle $C$ of $\mathrm{BG}(M_{G''})$. Since $C$ contains two edges, each in a good cycle, there exists an edge $B'_2B'_3$, distinct from $B_2B_3$, in a good cycle $C'_e$, say $C'_e = B'_1B'_2B'_3B'_4$. The symmetric difference of $C$, the good cycle $C'_e$, and a Hamiltonian cycle of $\mathrm{BG}(M_{G'})$ passing through the edge $B'_1B'_4$ is a Hamiltonian cycle of $\mathrm{BG}(M_G)$ containing the edge $B_2B_3$. Because there are $\mathrm{HC}^*(M_{G'})$ Hamiltonian cycles passing through the edge $B'_1B'_4$, we have that $\mathrm{HC}_{B_2B_3}(M_G) \geq \mathrm{HC}^*(M_{G'})$. As $B_2B_3$ is in $\mathrm{HC}^*(M_{G''})$ Hamiltonian cycles of $\mathrm{BG}(M_{G''})$, and two distinct Hamiltonian cycles differ in at least two edges, we have that $\mathrm{HC}_{B_2B_3}(M_G) \geq \mathrm{HC}^*(M_{G''})$. □

In order to give a bound on $\mathrm{HC}^*(M_G)$, we define the function

$$\mathrm{hc}(n,k) = \min\{\mathrm{HC}^*(M_G)\colon\ G \text{ is a } k\text{-edge-connected graph of order } n\}.$$

**Proposition 12.** *For $k$, $n \geq 3$, $\mathrm{hc}(n,k) \geq (n-2)(k-1)\,\mathrm{hc}(n-1,k)\,\mathrm{hc}(n,k-1)$.*

*Proof.* Let $G$ be a $k$-edge-connected graph of order $n$ such that $\mathrm{HC}^*(M_G) = \mathrm{hc}(n,k)$. By Lemma 10, there are $(n-2)(k-1)$ good cycles for every edge of $\mathrm{BG}(M_G)$. Let $B_1B_2$ be an edge of $\mathrm{BG}(M_G)$, say $B_2 = B_1 - e + g$, and let $G' = G/e$ and $G'' = G \setminus e$. The symmetric difference of a good cycle $C_e = B_1B_2B_3B_4$, a Hamiltonian cycle of $\mathrm{BG}(M_{G'})$ containing $B_1B_4$, and a Hamiltonian cycle of $\mathrm{BG}(M_{G''})$ containing $B_2B_3$ is a Hamiltonian cycle of $\mathrm{BG}(M_G)$ containing $B_1B_2$. Hence, $B_1B_2$ is in $(n-2)(k-1)\,\mathrm{HC}^*(M_{G'})\,\mathrm{HC}^*(M_{G''})$ Hamiltonian cycles of $\mathrm{BG}(M_G)$. Now, as $G'$ is $k$-edge-connected of order $n-1$, we have that $\mathrm{HC}^*(M_{G'}) \geq \mathrm{hc}(n-1,k)$ and, as $G''$ is $(k-1)$-edge-connected of order $n$, we have that $\mathrm{HC}^*(M_{G''}) \geq \mathrm{hc}(n,k-1)$. Therefore we conclude that $\mathrm{hc}(n,k) = \mathrm{HC}^*(M_G) \geq (n-2)(k-1)\,\mathrm{hc}(n-1,k)\,\mathrm{hc}(n,k-1)$. □

The *superfactorial* $\mathrm{sf}(x)$ of a positive integer $x$ is the number $x!(x-1)!\cdots 0!$

**Theorem 13.** *For $k \geq 3$, $\mathrm{hc}(3,k) \geq \mathrm{sf}(k-1)$.*

*Proof.* We use induction on $k$. Let $G$ be a $k$-edge-connected graph of order three such that $\mathrm{HC}^*(M_G) = \mathrm{hc}(3,k)$. Let $B_1B_2$ be an edge of $\mathrm{BG}(M_G)$, say $B_2 = B_1 - e + g$. Let $G' = G/e$ and $G'' = G \setminus e$. The graph $G'$ is $k$-edge-connected of order two.

If $k = 3$, then $\mathrm{BG}(M_{G'})$ has three vertices and is edge Hamiltonian. The graph $G''$ has three vertices and is 2-edge-connected, therefore $\mathrm{BG}(M_{G''})$ has three vertices and is edge Hamiltonian. By Lemma 10, the edge $B_1B_2$ is in two good cycles in $\mathcal{C}_e$. The symmetric difference of a good cycle $C_e = B_1B_2B_3B_4$, a Hamiltonian cycle of $\mathrm{BG}(M_{G'})$ containing $B_1B_4$, and a Hamiltonian cycle of $\mathrm{BG}(M_{G''})$ containing $B_2B_3$ is a Hamiltonian cycle of $\mathrm{BG}(M_G)$ containing $B_1B_2$.

Hence, every edge of $\mathrm{BG}(M_G)$ is in two Hamiltonian cycles and $\mathrm{hc}(3,k) = \mathrm{HC}^*(M_G) \geq 2$.

Suppose $k > 3$. By the induction hypothesis, $\mathrm{hc}(3,k-1) \geq \mathrm{sf}(k-2)$. The basis graph $\mathrm{BG}(M_{G'})$ is a complete graph on at least $k$ vertices, thus $\mathrm{HC}^*(M_{G'}) \geq (k-2)!$ Since $G''$ is a $(k-1)$-edge-connected graph of order three, every edge of $\mathrm{BG}(M_{G''})$ is in $\mathrm{hc}(3,k-1)$ Hamiltonian cycles. By Lemma 10, the edge $B_1B_2$ is in $k-1$ good cycles in $\mathcal{C}_e$. The symmetric difference of a good cycle $C_e = B_1B_2B_3B_4$, a Hamiltonian cycle of $\mathrm{BG}(M_{G'})$ containing $B_1B_2$, and a Hamiltonian cycle of $\mathrm{BG}(M_{G''})$ containing $B_2B_3$ is a Hamiltonian cycle of $\mathrm{BG}(M_G)$ containing $B_1B_2$. Hence, every edge of $\mathrm{BG}(M_G)$ is in $(k-2)!(k-1)\,\mathrm{hc}(3,k-1) \geq (k-1)!\,\mathrm{sf}(k-2) = \mathrm{sf}(k-1)$ Hamiltonian cycles. Thus, $\mathrm{HC}^*(M_G) = \mathrm{hc}(3,k) \geq \mathrm{sf}(k-1)$. □

**Theorem 14.** *For $n \geq 3$, $\mathrm{hc}(n,3) \geq (n-2)!\,2^{\binom{n-1}{2}}$.*

*Proof.* We use induction on $n$. Let $G$ be a 3-edge-connected graph of order $n \geq 3$ such that $\mathrm{HC}^*(M_G) = \mathrm{hc}(n,3)$. Let $B_1B_2$ be an edge of $\mathrm{BG}(M_G)$, say $B_2 = B_1 - e + g$. Let $G' = G/e$ and $G'' = G \setminus e$. Note that $G'$ is a 3-edge-connected graph of order $n-1$.

If $n = 3$, then $G'$ is a 3-edge-connected graph of order two. So $\mathrm{BG}(M_{G'})$ is the complete graph $K_m$, where $m \geq 3$ is the number of edges of $G'$. (Remember that we remove loops of $G'$ if any.) The graph $G''$ has three vertices and is 2-edge-connected, therefore $\mathrm{BG}(M_{G''})$ has at least three vertices and is edge Hamiltonian. By Lemma 10, the edge $B_1B_2$ is in two good cycles in $\mathcal{C}_e$. The symmetric difference of a good cycle $C_e = B_1B_2B_3B_4$, a Hamiltonian cycle of $\mathrm{BG}(M_{G'})$ containing $B_1B_4$, and a Hamiltonian cycle of $\mathrm{BG}(M_{G''})$ containing $B_2B_3$ is a Hamiltonian cycle of $\mathrm{BG}(M_G)$ containing $B_1B_2$. Hence, every edge of $\mathrm{BG}(M_G)$ is in two Hamiltonian cycles, and so $\mathrm{HC}^*(M_G) = \mathrm{hc}(3,3) \geq 2$.

Suppose $n > 3$. By the induction hypothesis, $\mathrm{hc}(n-1,3) \geq (n-3)!\,2^{\binom{n-2}{2}}$. Since $G'$ is a 3-edge-connected graph of order $n-1$, every edge of $\mathrm{BG}(M_{G'})$ is in $\mathrm{hc}(n-1,3)$ Hamiltonian cycles. By Theorem 9, as $G''$ is 2-edge-connected of order $n$, every edge of $\mathrm{BG}(M_{G''})$ is in $2^{n-3}$ Hamiltonian cycles. By Lemma 10, the edge $B_1B_2$ is in $2(n-2)$ good cycles in $\mathcal{C}_e$. The symmetric difference of a good cycle $C_e = B_1B_2B_3B_4$, a Hamiltonian cycle of $\mathrm{BG}(M_{G'})$ containing $B_1B_4$, and a Hamiltonian cycle of $\mathrm{BG}(M_{G''})$ containing $B_2B_3$ is a Hamiltonian cycle of $\mathrm{BG}(M_G)$ containing $B_1B_2$. Therefore, every edge of $\mathrm{BG}(M_G)$ is in $(n-3)!\,2^{\binom{n-2}{2}}2(n-2)2^{n-3} = (n-2)!\,2^{\binom{n-1}{2}}$ Hamiltonian cycles. Thus, $\mathrm{HC}^*(M_G) = \mathrm{hc}(n,3) \geq (n-2)!\,2^{\binom{n-1}{2}}$. □

The next theorem gives a bound on $\mathrm{hc}(n,k)$ for $n \geq 4$ and $k \geq 4$.

**Theorem 15.** *For $n$, $k \geq 4$,*

$$\mathrm{hc}(n,k) \;\geq\; \frac{2^{\binom{n+k-4}{n-3}} \cdot 3^{\binom{n+k-7}{k-3}}}{(n-1)k} \prod_{r=4}^{k} \big(r\,\mathrm{sf}(r-1)\big)^{\binom{n+k-4-r}{n-4}} \cdot \prod_{s=4}^{n} (s-1)!^{\binom{n+k-4-s}{k-4}}.$$

*Proof.* The proof is by induction on $n+k$ and uses repeatedly Proposition 12.

For $n=k=4$, we apply Theorem 13 to $\mathrm{hc}(3,4)$ and Theorem 14 to $\mathrm{hc}(4,3)$:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{hc}(4,4) &\geq 2\cdot 3\ \mathrm{hc}(3,4)\ \mathrm{hc}(4,3) \geq 6\left[\mathrm{sf}(3)\right]\cdot\left[2!\,2^{\binom{3}{2}}\right] = 3!\cdot\mathrm{sf}(3)\,2\cdot 2^3\\ &= 2^{\binom{4}{1}}\cdot\frac{3\cdot 4}{3\cdot 4}\,\mathrm{sf}(3)\cdot 3! = \frac{2^{\binom{4}{1}}\cdot 3^{\binom{1}{1}}}{3\cdot 4}\big(4\,\mathrm{sf}(3)\big)^{\binom{0}{0}}\cdot 3!^{\binom{0}{0}}.\end{aligned}$$

The bound on $\mathrm{hc}(4,k)$ for $k\geq 5$ comes from applying Theorem 13 to $\mathrm{hc}(3,k)$ and the induction hypothesis on $\mathrm{hc}(4,k-1)$:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{hc}(4,k) &\geq 2(k-1)\left[\mathrm{sf}(k-1)\right]\cdot\left[\frac{2^{\binom{k-1}{1}}\cdot 3^{\binom{k-4}{k-4}}}{3(k-1)}\cdot\left(\prod_{r=4}^{k-1}\big(r\,\mathrm{sf}(r-1)\big)^{\binom{k-1-r}{0}}\right)\cdot 3!^{\binom{k-5}{k-5}}\right]\\ &= \frac{2}{3}\,\mathrm{sf}(k-1)\cdot 2^{k-1}\cdot 3^{\binom{k-3}{k-3}}\cdot\left(\prod_{r=4}^{k-1}\big(r\,\mathrm{sf}(r-1)\big)^{\binom{k-r}{0}}\right)\cdot 3!^{\binom{k-4}{k-4}}\\ &= \frac{2^{\binom{k}{1}}\cdot 3^{\binom{k-3}{k-3}}}{3k}\cdot\left(\prod_{r=4}^{k}\big(r\,\mathrm{sf}(r-1)\big)^{\binom{k-r}{0}}\right)\cdot 3!^{\binom{k-4}{k-4}}.\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, the bound on $\mathrm{hc}(n,4)$ for $n\geq 5$ comes from applying the induction hypothesis on $\mathrm{hc}(n-1,4)$ and Theorem 14 to $\mathrm{hc}(n,3)$:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{hc}(n,4) &\geq (n-2)3\left[\frac{2^{\binom{n-1}{n-4}}\cdot 3^{\binom{n-4}{1}}}{(n-2)4}\cdot\big(4\,\mathrm{sf}(3)\big)^{\binom{n-5}{n-5}}\cdot\prod_{s=4}^{n-1}(s-1)!^{\binom{n-1-s}{0}}\right]\cdot\left[(n-2)!\,2^{\binom{n-1}{2}}\right]\\ &= \frac{3}{4}\,2^{\binom{n-1}{n-4}}\cdot 3^{n-4}\cdot\big(4\,\mathrm{sf}(3)\big)^{\binom{n-4}{n-4}}\cdot\left(\prod_{s=4}^{n-1}(s-1)!^{\binom{n-s}{0}}\right)\cdot(n-2)!\,2^{\binom{n-1}{n-3}}\\ &= \frac{2^{\binom{n-1}{n-4}+\binom{n-1}{n-3}}\cdot 3^{n-3}}{(n-1)4}\,(n-1)\cdot\big(4\,\mathrm{sf}(3)\big)^{\binom{n-4}{n-4}}\cdot\left(\prod_{s=4}^{n-1}(s-1)!^{\binom{n-s}{0}}\right)\cdot(n-2)!\\ &= \frac{2^{\binom{n}{n-3}}\cdot 3^{\binom{n-3}{1}}}{(n-1)4}\cdot\big(4\,\mathrm{sf}(3)\big)^{\binom{n-4}{n-4}}\cdot\left(\prod_{s=4}^{n}(s-1)!^{\binom{n-s}{0}}\right).\end{aligned}$$

Finally, the bound on $\mathrm{hc}(n,k)$ for $n,k\geq 5$ comes from applying the induction hypothesis on both $\mathrm{hc}(n-1,k)$ and $\mathrm{hc}(n,k-1)$:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{hc}(n,k) \geq\ & (n-2)(k-1)\frac{2^{\binom{n+k-5}{n-4}}\cdot 3^{\binom{n+k-8}{k-3}}}{(n-2)k}\prod_{r=4}^{k}\big(r\,\mathrm{sf}(r-1)\big)^{\binom{n+k-5-r}{n-5}}\cdot\prod_{s=4}^{n-1}(s-1)!^{\binom{n+k-5-s}{k-4}}\\ &\cdot\frac{2^{\binom{n+k-5}{n-3}}\cdot 3^{\binom{n+k-8}{k-4}}}{(n-1)(k-1)}\prod_{r=4}^{k-1}\big(r\,\mathrm{sf}(r-1)\big)^{\binom{n+k-5-r}{n-4}}\cdot\prod_{s=4}^{n}(s-1)!^{\binom{n+k-5-s}{k-5}}\\ =\ & \frac{2^{\binom{n+k-4}{n-3}}\cdot 3^{\binom{n+k-7}{k-3}}}{(n-1)k}\left(\prod_{r=4}^{k-1}\big(r\,\mathrm{sf}(r-1)\big)^{\binom{n+k-4-r}{n-4}}\right)\cdot\big(k\,\mathrm{sf}(k-1)\big)^{\binom{n-5}{n-5}}\end{aligned}$$

$$\cdot \left( \prod_{s=4}^{n-1} (s-1)!^{\binom{n+k-4-s}{k-4}} \right) \cdot (n-1)!^{\binom{k-5}{k-5}}$$

$$= \frac{2^{\binom{n+k-4}{n-3}} \cdot 3^{\binom{n+k-7}{k-3}}}{(n-1)k} \prod_{r=4}^{k} \big(r\,\mathrm{sf}(r-1)\big)^{\binom{n+k-4-r}{n-4}} \cdot \prod_{s=4}^{n} (s-1)!^{\binom{n+k-4-s}{k-4}}.$$

This completes the proof of the theorem. □

The following corollary follows from mathematical manipulations on the right side of the inequality given by Theorem 15 and it gives a more explicit and concise expression.

**Corollary 16.** *For $n > k \geq 5$,*

$$\mathrm{hc}(n,k) > \prod_{r=3}^{n} \mathrm{sf}(r-1)^{\binom{n+k-5-r}{n-6}+\binom{n+k-4-r}{n-4}+\binom{n+k-5-r}{k-5}}.$$

*Proof.* We start proving two auxiliary equalities that shall be used to prove the corollary. Firstly,

$$\begin{aligned}
2^{\binom{n+k-4-2}{n-4}} \cdot 3^{\binom{n+k-4-3}{k-3}} \cdot \prod_{r=4}^{k} r^{\binom{n+k-4-r}{n-4}} &= \prod_{r=2}^{k} r^{\binom{n+k-4-r}{n-4}} \\
&= \prod_{r=2}^{k} r!^{\binom{n+k-4-r}{n-4}-\binom{n+k-4-(r+1)}{n-4}} \qquad (3) \\
&= \prod_{r=2}^{k} r!^{\binom{n+k-5-r}{n-5}} \\
&= \prod_{r=2}^{k} \mathrm{sf}(r)^{\binom{n+k-5-r}{n-5}-\binom{n+k-5-(r+1)}{n-5}} \qquad (4) \\
&= \prod_{r=2}^{k} \mathrm{sf}(r)^{\binom{n+k-6-r}{n-6}} \\
&= \prod_{r=3}^{k+1} \mathrm{sf}(r-1)^{\binom{n+k-6-(r-1)}{n-6}} \\
&= \prod_{r=3}^{n} \mathrm{sf}(r-1)^{\binom{n+k-5-r}{n-6}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Equalities (3) and (4) follow from the hypothesis that $n \geq 6$.

Secondly,

$$2^{\binom{n+k-4-3}{k-4}} \cdot \prod_{s=4}^{n} (s-1)!^{\binom{n+k-4-s}{k-4}} = \prod_{s=3}^{n} (s-1)!^{\binom{n+k-4-s}{k-4}}$$

$$= \prod_{s=3}^{n} \mathrm{sf}(s-1)^{\binom{n+k-4-s}{k-4}-\binom{n+k-4-(s+1)}{k-4}} \qquad (5)$$
$$= \prod_{s=3}^{n} \mathrm{sf}(s-1)^{\binom{n+k-5-s}{k-5}}.$$

Equality (5) follows from the hypothesis that $k \geq 5$.

Thus, by Theorem 15, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{hc}(n,k) &\geq \frac{2^{\binom{n+k-4}{n-3}} \cdot 3^{\binom{n+k-7}{k-3}}}{(n-1)k} \cdot \prod_{r=4}^{k} \left(r\,\mathrm{sf}(r-1)\right)^{\binom{n+k-4-r}{n-4}} \cdot \prod_{s=4}^{n} (s-1)!^{\binom{n+k-4-s}{k-4}} \\
&= \frac{2^{\binom{n+k-4}{n-3}} \cdot 3^{\binom{n+k-7}{k-3}}}{(n-1)k} \cdot \prod_{r=4}^{k} r^{\binom{n+k-4-r}{n-4}} \\
&\qquad \cdot \prod_{r=4}^{k} \mathrm{sf}(r-1)^{\binom{n+k-4-r}{n-4}} \\
&\qquad \cdot \prod_{s=4}^{n} (s-1)!^{\binom{n+k-4-s}{k-4}} \\
&= \frac{2^{\binom{n+k-5}{n-4}}}{(n-1)k} \cdot \left(2^{\binom{n+k-6}{n-4}} \cdot 3^{\binom{n+k-7}{k-3}} \prod_{r=4}^{k} r^{\binom{n+k-4-r}{n-4}}\right) \qquad (6) \\
&\qquad \cdot \left(2^{\binom{n+k-7}{n-4}} \prod_{r=4}^{k} \mathrm{sf}(r-1)^{\binom{n+k-4-r}{n-4}}\right) \\
&\qquad \cdot \left(2^{\binom{n+k-7}{n-3}} \cdot \prod_{s=4}^{n} (s-1)!^{\binom{n+k-4-s}{k-4}}\right) \\
&= \frac{2^{\binom{n+k-5}{n-4}}}{(n-1)k} \cdot \left(\prod_{r=3}^{n} \mathrm{sf}(r-1)^{\binom{n+k-5-r}{n-6}}\right) \qquad (7) \\
&\qquad \cdot \left(\prod_{r=3}^{n} \mathrm{sf}(r-1)^{\binom{n+k-4-r}{n-4}}\right) \\
&\qquad \cdot \left(\prod_{r=3}^{n} \mathrm{sf}(r-1)^{\binom{n+k-5-r}{k-5}}\right) \\
&= \frac{2^{\binom{n+k-5}{n-4}}}{(n-1)k} \cdot \prod_{r=3}^{n} \mathrm{sf}(r-1)^{\binom{n+k-5-r}{n-6}+\binom{n+k-4-r}{n-4}+\binom{n+k-5-r}{k-5}} \\
&> \prod_{r=3}^{n} \mathrm{sf}(r-1)^{\binom{n+k-5-r}{n-6}+\binom{n+k-4-r}{n-4}+\binom{n+k-5-r}{k-5}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Equality (6) holds because $\binom{n+k-4}{n-3} = \binom{n+k-7}{n-3} + \binom{n+k-7}{n-4} + \binom{n+k-6}{n-4} + \binom{n+k-5}{n-4}$, and (7) follows from the two previous equalities. □

# 3 Generalized Catalan matroids

In this section we address a special class of transversal matroids introduced by Bonin, de Mier, and Noy [4]. We follow the description of Bonin and de Mier [3] and Stanley [16].

Let $S$ be a subset of $\mathbb{Z}^d$. A *lattice path* $L$ in $\mathbb{Z}^d$ of length $k$ with steps in $S$ is a sequence $v_0, \ldots, v_k \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ such that each consecutive difference $s_j = v_j - v_{j-1}$ lies in $S$. We call $s_j$ the $j$th step of the lattice path $L$. We say that $L$ starts at $v_0$ and ends at $v_k$, or simply that $L$ goes from $v_0$ to $v_k$.

All lattice paths we consider are in $\mathbb{Z}^2$, start at $(0,0)$ and end at $(m,r)$, and use steps in $S = \{(1,0),(0,1)\}$. We call the steps $(1,0)$ and $(0,1)$ as *East* ($E$) and *North* ($N$), respectively. Sometimes it is convenient to represent a lattice path $L$ as a sequence of steps; that is, as a word of length $m+r$ on the alphabet $\{E,N\}$; other times, as a subset of $\{1,\ldots,m+r\} = [m{+}r]$, say $\{j\colon\ j\text{th step of } L \text{ is } N\}$.

Let $P$ and $Q$ be lattice paths from $(0,0)$ to $(m,r)$ with $P$ never going above $Q$. Let $\mathcal{P}$ be the set of all lattice paths from $(0,0)$ to $(m,r)$ that go neither below $P$ nor above $Q$. For each $i$ with $1 \le i \le r$, let $A_i$ be the set

$$A_i = \{j\colon\ j\text{th step is the } i\text{th North for some path in } \mathcal{P}\}.$$

Observe that $A_1, \ldots, A_r$ are intervals $A_i = [a_i, b_i]$ in $[m{+}r]$. Moreover $a_1 < \cdots < a_r$ and $b_1 < \cdots < b_r$; and $a_i$ and $b_i$ correspond to the positions of the $i$th North step of $Q$ and $P$, respectively. An example is shown in Figure 6.




$A_8 = \{17, 18\}$
$A_7 = \{15, 16, 17\}$
$A_6 = \{14, 15, 16\}$
$A_5 = \{11, 12, 13, 14\}$
$A_4 = \{9, 10, 11, 12, 13\}$
$A_3 = \{8, 9, 10, 11\}$
$A_2 = \{4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10\}$
$A_1 = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8\}$

$Q = \{1, 4, 8, 9, 11, 14, 15, 17\}$
$Q = NEENEEENNENEENNENE$

$B = \{5, 6, 10, 12, 14, 15, 16, 18\}$
$B = EEEENNEEENENENNNEN$

$P = \{8, 10, 11, 13, 14, 16, 17, 18\}$
$P = EEEEEEENENNENNENNN$

Figure 6: Lattice paths $P$ and $Q$ from $(0,0)$ to $(10,8)$ and the corresponding sets $A_1, \ldots, A_8$. Representations of $P$ and $Q$ as words of length $10+8$ in the alphabet $\{E,N\}$ and as subsets of $[10+8]$. Lattice path $B$ goes neither below $P$ nor above $Q$ and its representations as a word and as a subset.

Let $M[P,Q]$ be the transversal matroid on the ground set $[m{+}r]$ and $(A_1, \ldots, A_r)$ its presentation. We call $(A_1, \ldots, A_r)$ the *standard presentation* of $M[P,Q]$. Note that $M[P,Q]$ has rank $r$ and corank (or nullity) $m$. A transversal matroid is a *lattice path matroid* if it is a matroid of the type $M[P,Q]$. Each basis of $M[P,Q]$ corresponds to a lattice path from $(0,0)$ to $(m,r)$ that goes neither below $P$ nor above $Q$. Figure 6 shows an illustration of a matroid $M[P,Q]$ and a basis $B$.

Let $M[P,Q]$ be a lattice path matroid. Let $P = y_1 \cdots y_i \cdots y_{m+r}$ $(= y^{[m+r]})$ and $Q = x_1 \cdots x_i \cdots x_{m+r}$ $(= x^{[m+r]})$, with $x_i, y_i \in \{N,E\}$ for $i \in [m{+}r]$.

A *generalized Catalan matroid* is a lattice path matroid $M[P, Q]$, where $P = E^m N^r$. We simply write $M[Q]$ for generalized Catalan matroids. The class of generalized Catalan matroid is minor-closed [3, Theorem 4.2]. The *$k$-Catalan matroid* is the generalized Catalan matroid $M[(NE)^k]$; that is, $Q = (NE)^k$.

**Lemma 17.** *Let $M[Q]$ be a generalized Catalan matroid of rank $r$ and corank $m$, for $m \geq r \geq 2$, with neither a loop nor an isthmus. Then, every edge of* $\mathrm{BG}(M[Q])$ *is in $r-1$ good cycles.*

*Proof.* As $M[Q]$ has neither a loop nor an isthmus, the first step of $Q$ is North and the last one is East. By convenience, we consider the bases of $M[Q]$ as words of length $m+r$ in the alphabet $\{N, E\}$.

Let $B_1B_2$ be an edge of $\mathrm{BG}(M[Q])$, say $B_2 = B_1 - e + g$. Thus, $B_1 = x^{[m+r]}$, $B_2 = y^{[m+r]}$, and there exist indices $e$ and $g$ such that $x_e = y_g = N$, $x_g = y_e = E$, and $x_\ell = y_\ell$ for $\ell \neq e, g$. Without loss of generality we may assume that $e < g$.

**Case 1.** *There exists an index $\ell$ less than $e$ (and therefore less than $g$) such that $x_\ell = y_\ell = N$ (Figure 7).*

Let $f$ be the least index such that $x_f = y_f = N$. For every index $w$ such that $x_w = y_w = E$, basis $B_4$ rises by switching $x_w$ for $N$ and $x_f$ for $E$ in $B_1$ and basis $B_3$ rises by switching $y_w$ for $N$ and $y_f$ for $E$ in $B_2$; that is, $B_4 = B_1 - f + w$ and $B_3 = B_2 - f + w$. Since the first step of $Q$ is North and the last one is East, the paths corresponding to the words $B_3$ and $B_4$, respectively, are in $M[Q]$. Thus, for every common $E$ step of $B_1$ and $B_2$, we obtain a good cycle $C_e$. Therefore, there are $m-1$ good $C_e$ passing through the edge $B_1B_2$.




Figure 7: Illustration of lattice paths corresponding to Case 1.

**Case 2.** *There exists an index $\ell$ greater that $g$ (and therefore greater than $e$) such that $x_\ell = y_\ell = E$.*

Let $w$ be the last index such that $x_w = y_w = E$. For every index $f$ such that $x_f = y_f = N$, basis $B_4$ rises by switching $x_f$ for $E$ and $x_w$ for $N$ in $B_1$ and basis $B_3$ rises by switching $y_f$ for $E$ and $y_w$ for $N$ in $B_2$; that is, $B_4 = B_1 - f + w$ and $B_3 = B_2 - f + w$. Since the first step of $Q$ is North and the last one is East, the paths corresponding to the words $B_3$ and $B_4$, respectively, are in $M[Q]$. Thus, for every common $N$ step of $B_1$ and $B_2$, we obtain a good cycle $C_e$. Therefore, there are $r-1$ good $C_e$ passing through the edge $B_1B_2$.

**Case 3.** *There exist no indices $\ell$ and $\ell'$ with $\ell < e$ and $\ell' > g$ such that $x_\ell = y_\ell = N$ and $x_{\ell'} = y_{\ell'} = E$.*

Thus, $x_e$ is the first $N$ in $B_1$ and $x_g$ is the last $E$. Let $x_h$ be the penultimate $E$ in $B_1$. Such $x_h$ exists because $m \geq r \geq 2$. As $y_g$ is $N$ in $B_2$, $y_h$ is the last $E$ in $B_2$.

In order to count the number of good cycles, we partition the $N$'s in the words corresponding to the bases $B_1$ and $B_2$ in maximal *blocks*, and for each $N$ we shall show a good cycle associated with it.

**Block of Type I.** Consider the block $x_i \cdots x_{w-1}x_w$ such that $x_i = \cdots = x_{w-1} = N$ and $x_w = E$ with $e < i < w < g$.

Also, we have that $y_i = \cdots = y_{w-1} = N$ and $y_w = E$. For every $f \in \{i, \ldots, w-1\}$, basis $B_4$ rises by switching $x_f$ for $E$ and $x_w$ for $N$ in $B_1$ and basis $B_3$ rises by switching $y_f$ for $E$ and $y_w$ for $N$ in $B_2$; that is, $B_4 = B_1 - f + w$ and $B_3 = B_2 - f + w$.

**Block of Type II.** Consider the block $x_i \cdots x_{w-1}x_w$ such that $x_i = \cdots x_{w-1} = N$ and $x_w = E$ with $e < i < w = g$.

Also, we have that $y_i = \cdots = y_{w-1} = N$. Let $x_h$ the penultimate $E$ in $B_1$. As $y_w = y_g$ is $N$ in $B_2$, $y_h$ is the last $E$ in $B_2$. For every $f \in \{i, \ldots, g-1\}$, basis $B_4$ rises by switching $x_f$ for $E$ and $x_g$ for $N$ in $B_1$, and basis $B_3$ rises by switching $y_f$ for $E$ and $y_h$ for $N$ in $B_2$; that is, $B_4 = B_1 - f + g$ and $B_3 = B_2 - f + h$.

**Block of Type III.** Consider a block $x_{g+1} \cdots x_{m+r}$ of $N$'s in $B_1$.

Also, we have that $y_{g+1} \cdots y_{m+r}$ is a block of $N$'s in $B_2$. For every element $f \in \{g+1, \ldots, m+r\}$, basis $B_4$ rises by switching $x_f$ for $E$ and $x_g$ for $N$ in $B_1$, and basis $B_3$ rises by switching $y_f$ for $E$ and $y_h$ for $N$ in $B_2$; that is, $B_4 = B_1 - f + g$ and $B_3 = B_2 - f + h$.

Since every $N$ distinct of $x_e$ belongs to some type of block, we get $r-1$ good $C_e$ passing through the edge $B_1B_2$. □

Bonin and de Mier [3] observed that the class of all generalized Catalan matroids is closed under duals. Moreover, a basis $B^*$ of the dual of $M[P,Q]$ corresponds to the $E$ steps of the basis $B$ in $M[P,Q]$. Therefore, the following is a consequence of this fact and Lemma 17.

**Corollary 18.** *For $r, m \geq 2$, let $M[Q]$ be a generalized Catalan matroid of rank $r$ and corank $m$, with neither a loop nor an isthmus. Then every edge of $\mathrm{BG}(M[Q])$ is in $\min\{r-1, m-1\}$ good cycles.*

Let $M[P,Q]$ be a lattice path matroid. Let $P = y^{[m+r]}$ and $Q = x^{[m+r]}$, with $x_i, y_i \in \{N, E\}$ for $i \in [m+r]$. Assume $e$ is neither a loop nor an isthmus. In [3] was observed that:

(1) $M[P,Q]\backslash e$ is the lattice path matroid $M[P', Q']$ where the upper bounding path $Q'$ is formed by deleting from $Q$ the first $E$ step that is at or after step $e$; the lower bounding path $P'$ is formed by deleting from $P$ the last $E$ step that is at or before step $x$.

(2) $M[P,Q]/e$ is the lattice path matroid $M[P'', Q'']$ where the upper bounding path $Q''$ is formed by deleting from $Q$ the last $N$ step that is at or before step $e$; the lower bounding path $P''$ is formed by deleting from $P$ the first $N$ step that is at or after step $e$.

**Observation 1.** *If the $k$-Catalan matroid is a minor of the generalized Catalan matroid $M[Q]$, then for every element $e$ of $M[Q]$, the $(k-1)$-Catalan matroid is a minor of both the generalized Catalan matroid $M[Q]\backslash e$ and $M[Q]/e$.*

In fact, Observation 1 also holds if we replace generalized Catalan matroid for lattice path matroid.

For the class of generalized Catalan matroids, we define the function

$$\mathrm{hc}_L(k) = \min\{\mathrm{HC}^*(M[Q])\colon\ M[Q] \text{ has a } k\text{-Catalan matroid as a minor}\}.$$

**Proposition 19.** *For $k \geq 2$, $\mathrm{hc}_L(k) \geq (k-1)\,\mathrm{hc}_L(k-1)^2$.*

*Proof.* Let $M[Q] = M_Q$ be a generalized Catalan matroid such that $\mathrm{HC}^*(M_Q) = \mathrm{hc}_L(k)$. We may assume that $M_Q$ has neither a loop nor an isthmus. Thus, both the rank and corank of $M$ are at least $k$. By Corollary 18, there are $\min\{r-1, m-1\} \geq k-1$ good cycles for every edge of $\mathrm{BG}(M_Q)$. Let $B_1B_2$ be an edge of $\mathrm{BG}(M_Q)$, say $B_1 = B_2 - e + g$, and let $M' = M_Q\backslash e$ and $M'' = M_Q/e$. It follows from Observation 1 that both $M'$ and $M''$ contain a $(k-1)$-Catalan matroid as a minor. Thus $\mathrm{HC}^*(M') \geq \mathrm{hc}_L(k-1)$ and $\mathrm{HC}^*(M'') \geq \mathrm{hc}_L(k-1)$. Therefore we conclude that $\mathrm{hc}_L(k) \geq (k-1)\,\mathrm{hc}_L(k-1)^2$. □

**Theorem 20.** *For $k \geq 2$, $\mathrm{hc}_L(k) \geq \mathrm{sf}(k-1)\,\mathrm{sf}(k-2)$.*

*Proof.* The proof is by induction on $k$. We write simply $M_Q$ instead $M[Q]$. Let $M_Q$ be a generalized Catalan matroid such that $\mathrm{HC}^*(M_Q) = \mathrm{hc}_L(k)$. We may assume that $M_Q$ has neither a loop nor a isthmus. In particular, $M_Q$ has both rank and corank at least $k$. Let $k = 2$. So $\mathrm{BG}(M_Q)$ has at least three vertices and is edge Hamiltonian. Therefore $\mathrm{hc}_L(2) \geq 1 = \mathrm{sf}(1)\,\mathrm{sf}(0)$.

Now let $k \geq 3$. Let $B_1B_2$ be an edge of $\mathrm{BG}(M_Q)$, say $B_2 = B_1 - e + g$. By Corollary 18, the edge $B_1B_2$ is in $\min\{r-1, m-1\} \geq k-1$ good cycles.

Table 1: The three types of good cycles for $U_{r,n}$.

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| $B_1$ | $\{e, f_i, \ldots\}$ | $\{g, f_i, \ldots\}$ | $B_2$ |
| $B_4$ | $\{e, w, \ldots\}$ | $\{g, w, \ldots\}$ | $B_3$ |

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| $B_1$ | $\{e, f_i, \ldots\}$ | $\{g, f_i, \ldots\}$ | $B_2$ |
| $B_4$ | $\{e, g, \ldots\}$ | $\{g, w, \ldots\}$ | $B_3$ |

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| $B_1$ | $\{e, f_i, \ldots\}$ | $\{g, f_i, \ldots\}$ | $B_2$ |
| $B_4$ | $\{e, w, \ldots\}$ | $\{w, f_i, \ldots\}$ | $B_3$ |

Consider $M' = M_Q \backslash e$ and $M'' = M_Q / e$. By Observation 1, the $(k-1)$-Catalan matroid is a minor of both $M'$ and $M''$. Thus, by the induction hypothesis, $\mathrm{HC}^*(M'), \mathrm{HC}^*(M'') \geq \mathrm{hc}_L(k-1) \geq \mathrm{sf}(k-2)\,\mathrm{sf}(k-3)$. Hence, every edge of $\mathrm{BG}(M_Q)$ is in $(k-1)\big(\mathrm{sf}(k-2)\,\mathrm{sf}(k-3)\big)^2 \geq \mathrm{sf}(k-1)\,\mathrm{sf}(k-2)$ Hamiltonian cycles. □

### 3.1 Uniform matroids

Recall that the set of bases of the *uniform matroid* of rank $r$ on $n$ elements, denoted by $U_{r,n}$, consists of all $r$-subsets of $[n]$. Also, $U_{r,n}$ can be considered as the lattice path matroid $M[P, Q]$ where $Q = N^r E^{n-r}$ and $P = E^{n-r} N^r$.

Let $B_1 B_2$ be an edge of $\mathrm{BG}(U_{r,n})$, say $B_1 = B_2 - e + g$. So, we have that $B_1 = \{e, f_2, \ldots, f_r\}$ and $B_2 = \{g, f_2, \ldots, f_r\}$, with $f_i \in [n] \setminus \{e, g\}$ for $i \in \{2, \ldots, r\}$. For every $w$ in $[n] \setminus \{e, g, f_2, \ldots, f_r\}$, we can obtain three types of good cycles in $C_e$ by replacing an $f_i$ by $w$ as shown in Table 1. We thus have the following result.

**Proposition 21.** *Let $n > r \geq 1$ be integers. Then every edge of $\mathrm{BG}(U_{r,n})$ is in $3(n-r-1)(r-1)$ good cycles.*

Finally, the next theorem can be proved by induction on the number of elements of the matroid, applying Proposition 21, and following the same strategy as above.

**Theorem 22.** *Let $n > r \geq 1$ be integers. Then every edge of $\mathrm{BG}(U_{r,n})$ is in $\big((n-r-1)!(r-1)!\big)^{\min\{n-r-1, r-1\}}$ Hamiltonian cycles.*

## References


[1] J. A. Bondy and A. W. Ingleton, *Pancyclic graphs. II*, J. Combinatorial Theory Ser. B, 20 (1976), pp. 41–46.

[2] J. A. Bondy and U. S. R. Murty, *Graph theory*, vol. 244 of Graduate Texts in Mathematics, Springer, New York, 2008, doi:10.1007/978-1-84628-970-5.

[3] J. E. Bonin and A. de Mier, *Lattice path matroids: structural properties*, European J. Combin., 27 (2006), pp. 701–738, doi:10.1016/j.ejc.2005.01.008.

[4] J. E. Bonin, A. de Mier, and M. Noy, *Lattice path matroids: enumerative aspects and Tutte polynomials*, J. Combin. Theory Ser. A, 104 (2003), pp. 63–94, doi:10.1016/S0097-3165(03)00122-5.

[5] V. Chatelain and J. L. Ramírez Alfonsín, *Matroid base polytope decomposition*, Adv. in Appl. Math., 47 (2011), pp. 158–172, doi:10.1016/j.aam.2010.04.005.

[6] V. Chatelain and J. L. Ramírez Alfonsín, *Matroid base polytope decomposition II: Sequences of hyperplane splits*, Adv. in Appl. Math., 54 (2014), pp. 121–136.

[7] R. L. Cummins, *Hamilton circuits in tree graphs*, IEEE Trans. Circuit Theory, CT-13 (1966), pp. 82–90.

[8] J. D. Donald, C. A. Holzmann, and M. D. Tobey, *A characterization of complete matroid base graphs*, J. Combinatorial Theory Ser. B, 22 (1977), pp. 139–158.

[9] I. M. Gel′fand and V. V. Serganova, *Combinatorial geometries and the strata of a torus on homogeneous compact manifolds*, Uspekhi Mat. Nauk, 42 (1987), pp. 107–134, 287.

[10] G. Z. Liu, *The connectivity of matroid base graphs*, Chinese J. Oper. Res., 3 (1984), pp. 59–60.

[11] G. Z. Liu, *Erratum: "A lower bound on connectivities of matroid base graphs"*, Discrete Math., 71 (1988), p. 187, doi:10.1016/0012-365X(88)90073-8.

[12] G. Z. Liu, *A lower bound on connectivities of matroid base graphs*, Discrete Math., 69 (1988), pp. 55–60, doi:10.1016/0012-365X(88)90177-X.

[13] S. B. Maurer, *Matroid basis graphs. I*, J. Combinatorial Theory Ser. B, 14 (1973), pp. 216–240.

[14] J. Oxley, *Matroid theory*, vol. 21 of Oxford Graduate Texts in Mathematics, Oxford University Press, Oxford, second ed., 2011, doi:10.1093/acprof:oso/9780198566946.001.0001.

[15] H. S. Shank, *A note on Hamilton circuits in tree graphs*, IEEE Trans. Circuit Theory, CT-15 (1968), p. 86, doi:10.1109/TCT.1968.1082765.

[16] R. P. Stanley, *Enumerative combinatorics. Volume 1*, vol. 49 of Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, second ed., 2012.

[17] D. J. A. Welsh, *Matroid theory*, Academic Press [Harcourt Brace Jovanovich, Publishers], London-New York, 1976. L. M. S. Monographs, No. 8.